

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,565	09/19/2005	Atsushi Ando	10517/287	9663
23838 K FNVON & K	7590 10/23/2007 2 KENYON LLP EXAMINER		IINER	
1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3679	
				•
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Surrence	10/549,565	ANDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Binda	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2007</u> .						
, _	, —-					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2007 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2007.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
,						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	y (PTO-413) Date.				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/549,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

Drawings

- 1. The replacement drawings filed September 26, 2007 are objected to because they fail to show the maximum joint angle θ recited in claim 6, line 35. At best, the drawings show the joint angle at its minimum value (see "the joint angle is 0 degrees" at page 10, line 17). In the amendment filed September 26, 2007, applicant argues that the angle θ need not be shown because is it "is the sum of D theta, L, and S". The argument is nonsensical. The single dimension variables D(θ), L and S cannot sum up to equal a two dimensional angle.
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed September 26, 2007 directs that changes be made to the specification at paragraphs "[0060]" and "[0061]". However, the specification contains paragraphs numbered only to 0044.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 6, lines 22, 23 & 35 recite the limitation, " θ " which is supposed to indicate "a required maximum joint angle". However, the specification fails to teach how to determine such an angle. Applicant does not even appear to understand how the angle θ is determined given his argument in the amendment filed September 26, 2007 that the angle is a summation of three lengths, $D(\theta)$, L and S.
- 6. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 6, lines 24-29 recites that each of the dependent variables W1 & W2 is measured from the center of the cylindrical surface 18a to one end of the cylindrical

Application/Control Number: 10/549,565 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

surface 18a. That means each of W1 & W2 must be equal to ½ of the total length of the cylindrical surface 18a. Thus W1 = W2. However, in lines 24-29, the variables W1 and W2 are recited as having values that would not necessarily be equal to each other. The amendment filed September 26, 2007 neither obviates this rejection nor provides arguments for overcoming same.

- b. Claim 6 recites the limitations "the uppermost load concentration position" and "the lowermost concentration position" in lines 31 & 32. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- c. Claim 6 recites the limitations "the uppermost load concentration position" and "the lowermost concentration position" in lines 31 & 32, but does not identify to what element(s) these positions pertain.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

. Art Unit: 3679

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Greg Binda

Primary Examiner

MyBula

Art Unit 3679